IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MATTHEW HUFF)				
VS.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:16-cv-368	L ACTION NO. 3:16-cv-368			
ETHICON, INC.)) JURY TRIAL REQUESTED	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED			

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

This is a negligence, strict liability, and breach of warranty action arising out of the serious personal injuries of Matthew Huff as a result of the Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh tested, designed, manufactured, and marketed by Ethicon, Inc.

I. Parties

1. Parties to this suit are:

Plaintiff: Matthew Huff, a natural person who resides in West Frankfort, Illinois.

Defendant: Ethicon, Inc., is a foreign corporation licensed to do business in the State

of Illinois who may be served by serving its Registered Agent for Service, C. T. Corporation System, 208 So Lasalle St., Suite 814, Chicago, Illinois

60604.

II. Venue

2. Venue of this suit is proper and maintainable in the Southern District of Illinois in that Plaintiff's causes of action accrued, at least in part, in the Southern District of Illinois. Venue of this suit is proper herein under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the Defendant is a resident of the Southern District of Illinois with sufficient contacts to subject it to personal jurisdiction.

III. Jurisdiction

3. Matthew Huff is a resident of the State of Illinois. Defendant Ethicon, Inc. is a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business in some state other than the State of Illinois, thereby creating a diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendant. The amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00. The Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

IV. Nature of the Action

4. In 2013, Plaintiff Matthew Huff was treated for a hernia of the abdominal wall. The surgeon, Udaya Liyanage, M.D. used Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh for the hernia repair. Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh was manufactured, designed, tested, and marketed by Defendant Ethicon, Inc. The Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh is an implantable tissue-separating mesh designed to be physiologically compatible with the abdominal wall. In July of 2015, Mr. Huff began experiencing severe pain in his abdomen, along with fever, nausea, chills, and redness which developed on the skin of his abdomen. Mr. Huff was hospitalized and found to have an infection in and around the mesh causing two abdominal abscesses, intestinal fistula, and underwent a procedure to debride the two abscesses, and the placement of a V.A.C. Since that time, Plaintiff Matthew Huff has suffered severe and serious problems and complications with two open abdominal wounds which have to be cleaned and packed daily, and continues to suffer various infirmaries due to complications caused by the

product, Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh, that was designed, marketed, tested, and manufactured by Defendant Ethicon, Inc.

Strict Liability

- 5. Plaintiff Matthew Huff continues to suffer with pain, mental anguish, and other problems associated with the defective Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh product in question.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that the product in question was designed, manufactured, marketed, packaged, labeled, and tested by Defendant Ethicon, Inc.
- 7. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Ethicon, Inc. was engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, packaging, labeling, and testing products such as the Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh for hernia repair.
- 8. The product, Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh, was defective, unreasonably dangerous, and not suitable for implantation in Matthew Huff and others similarly situated and was the producing cause of the injuries and damages to Plainitff.
- 9. The mesh at issue was not reasonably tested to determine if it was fit for its intended purpose of implantation into the human body.
- 10. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the specific mesh in question was unreasonably dangerous in that the benefits of the specific mesh were outweighed by the risks of harm.
- 11. Plaintiff believes and alleges there were, at the time of the original manufacture and sale of the mesh in question, reasonable economically and technologically alternative

feasible designs which would have afforded users such as and including Plaintiff with the same or greater benefits, while reducing the risk of harm.

- 12. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the mesh in question did not, at the time of manufacture and sale, comport with Ethicon, Inc's own standards and requirements for the product.
- 13. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the deviation from intended design has made the product unreasonably dangerous.
- 14. Plaintiff believes and alleges that this defect existed at the time the product left the manufacturer.
- 15. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the defect caused the product to adhere to Plaintiff's internal organs in such as way that has caused him severe infection and further injuries.
- 16. Defendant Ethicon, Inc. did not provide foreseeable customers such as and including Plaintiff, his physicians, hospital staff, and/or other members of the medical community with reasonably sufficient technical information about the risks of using the Ethicon mesh in question and was negligent in such conduct which was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

Negligence

- 17. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Ethicon, Inc. was required to provide the Plaintiff with a reasonably safe product.
- 18. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Ethicon, Inc. did not provide Plaintiff with a reasonably safe product, such failure was negligent which was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's

injuries and damages.

- 19. Plaintiff believes and alleges that Defendant Ethicon, Inc. has caused Plaintiff significant pain and consequences of future surgeries as a result of Defendant not providing Plaintiff with a reasonably safe product.
- 20. Plaintiff further believes that Defendant Ethicon, Inc. was on notice of the problems with the product due to adverse event reports and complaints that were made to Ethicon, Inc. by users such as physicians and patients after which a reasonably prudent manufacturer would have removed the product from the market prior to the time that Matthew Huff was implanted with the Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh.

Breach of Warranties

21. Plaintiff alleges that the surgical mesh in question was negligently manufactured, tested, distributed, and marketed by Defendant Ethicon, Inc. therefore Ethicon, Inc. is liable under a theory of implied warranty in that the Ethicon, Inc. mesh was not reasonably suited for its intended purposes, such as implantation in the human body. The mesh was also unfit for the ordinary purposes for which it was used and the implied warranty of merchantability was breached. Such breach of implied warranties were a proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages. Plaintiff has provided the required notice for breach of warranties to Defendant Ethicon, Inc.

V. Actual Damages

22. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant Ethicon, Inc.'s acts and/or omissions were a producing cause and/or a proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.

- 23. As a direct and proximate cause of the defective mesh in question, and the injuries resulting there from, Plaintiff Matthew Huff would show he has suffered the following damages:
 - a. Medical expenses, past and future;
 - b. Physical impairment, past and future;
 - c. Loss of enjoyment of life, past and future;
 - d. Lost wages, past and future;
 - e. Loss of wage earning capacity, past and future;
 - f. Physical pain and mental anguish, past and future.

VI. Punitive Damages

24. Plaintiff makes claim that Defendant is liable to Plaintiff Matthew Huff for punitive damages for their gross negligence in the manufacture, design, marketing and testing, or lack thereof, for their Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh as implanted in Plaintiff Matthew Huff.

VII. Jury Demand


25. Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

VIII. Prayer

- 26. Plaintiff requests that the Defendant be cited to appear and answer, and that on final trial Plaintiff have:
- a. All actual, economic and compensatory damages in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court;

- Punitive damages; b.
- Prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the legal rate, costs of court, and C.
- d. Such other and further relief as Plaintiff may be entitled to receive

Respectfully submitted,



JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

AMOUNT

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil c	ideket sheet. (SEE INSTRU	CHONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC	RM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDA	NTS					
Matthew Huff				Ethicon,	Inc.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Franklin, IL (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			is	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Attorneys (If K						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP C)F PI	RINCI	PAL PARTIES	${f S}$ (Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	I U.S. Government 3 Federal Question			For Diversity Cases (Only) PT X 3					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	∇ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	Ö	2 🗇	2 Incorporated and of Business Ir	d Principal Place ☐ 5 🕱 5 n Another State		
<u> </u>				n or Subject of a eign Country	O	3	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1 120	O COTTLE IN A COUNTY A	TV 1		FINANCE CONTRACTOR			
It0 Insurance It0 Amrine It0 Marine It0 Marine It0 Marine It0 Marine It0 Megotiable Instrument It0 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment It5 Medicare Act It5 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) It5 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits It60 Stockholders' Suits It60 Stockholders	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malmactice CIYL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other	Other:	7 62 69 69 69 72 72 75 79 79 79 79 79 79 79	EXPERITURE/PENAL 5 Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC 6 Other LABOR 6 Fair Labor Standard: Act 7 Labor/Management Relations 8 Railway Labor Act 8 Family and Medical Leave Act 9 Cher Labor Litigativ 1 Employee Retiremer Income Security Act 1 MANICARATION 1 Naturalization Appli 9 Other lumnigration Actions	re 881	422 A		OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
Proceeding Sta	noved from 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Diversity 28 US Brief description of ca	Appellate Court atute under which you are C §1332		ened Ai [S] o not cite jurisdiction:	nother pecify) al statu		Litigatio			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F R Cv P.	DE	MAND \$ \$75,0	000+		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: D: ☑ Yes ☐ No		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOC	KET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY O	F RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDO	GE		MAG.II	IDGE		

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/12)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a)** Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record, If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ONITEDSTATE	for the					
Southern D	istrict of Illinois					
Benton Division						
MATTHEW HUFF Plaintiff V. ETHICON, INC.)) Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-368)					
Defendant)					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
To: CT Corporation System, Registered Agent for Ethicon, Inc. 208 S. Lasalle, Suite 814 Chicago, IL 60604						
A lawsuit has been filed against you.						
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offi P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an ar	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you icer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. nswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of tion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will b You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.					
	CLERK OF COURT					
Date:						

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides t							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summe	ons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			; or			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$					
			• .					
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information	is true.					
_								
Date:			Server's signature					
		Printed name and title						
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: